

Policy Uncertainty and the Transition to Clean  
Technologies  
Second Partner's Meeting

Laura Nowzohour

Institut de Hautes Études Internationales et du Développement

25 November 2019

# What do I do?

- I analyze the **investment choice of a scientist under policy uncertainty** in a model of directed technical change<sup>1</sup>.
- Here, productivity growth pertains to specific technologies so I can compare the relative performance of clean and dirty technologies.
- A representative final good is produced using both clean and dirty inputs.
- The productivity of clean vs. dirty technologies depicts how intensively they are used in production ( $\implies$  more productive technologies are cheaper).

---

<sup>1</sup>Acemoglu, D., Aghion, P., Bursztyn, L., and Hemous, D. (2012). The Environment and Directed Technical Change. *The American Economic Review*, 102(1):131–166.

# What do I do?

- The environment deteriorates in proportion to the use of the dirty technology.
- Thus, if dirty technologies are more productive than clean ones, there will be more pollution in equilibrium.

# What do I do?

- The environment deteriorates in proportion to the use of the dirty technology.
- Thus, if dirty technologies are more productive than clean ones, there will be more pollution in equilibrium.
- *But what drives the relative productivity of clean vs. dirty technologies?*


# What do I do?

- The environment deteriorates in proportion to the use of the dirty technology.
- Thus, if dirty technologies are more productive than clean ones, there will be more pollution in equilibrium.
- *But what drives the relative productivity of clean vs. dirty technologies?* **Scientists.**

# What do I do?

- The environment deteriorates in proportion to the use of the dirty technology.
- Thus, if dirty technologies are more productive than clean ones, there will be more pollution in equilibrium.
- *But what drives the relative productivity of clean vs. dirty technologies?* **Scientists.**
- Scientists choose whether to improve productivity in the clean or dirty sector based on the prospective profits they earn upon successful innovation.

# To be more precise: this is the model setup



## Why is policy uncertainty important here?


- By assumption, dirty technologies are more productive initially so in absence of policy intervention they will be used excessively in production and the economy converges into an environmental disaster.
- This is because of the *environmental externality*, i.e. the fact that the polluting side effect of using the dirty technology is not reflected in its market price, implying that it will be used excessively.
- The only way for the economy to experience sustained long-run growth is through the use of policies - a carbon tax.





# Anticipated effects of policy uncertainty

- By introducing uncertainty around the carbon tax, I make the option of investing into clean technologies more risky so less attractive.
- Thus, fewer scientists will switch to improving clean technologies and the polluting dirty ones will be used longer.
- This might lead to
  - ▶ an environmental disaster, or
  - ▶ sustained long-run growth but under higher cost of the transition to clean technologies because of the widened technology gap between clean and dirty technologies.

Again: policy uncertainty distorts the choice of the scientist...



Again: policy uncertainty distorts the choice of the scientist...



...making her more reluctant to invest into the clean sector as opposed to investing into more established dirty technologies.

...making her more reluctant to invest into the clean sector as opposed to investing into more established dirty technologies.

As a result, sustainable long-run growth is at risk and the economy might go into a state of environmental disaster.

As a result, sustainable long-run growth is at risk and the economy might go into a state of environmental disaster.

# The end

*Thanks for your attention.*